

Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed April 14, 2008.

Claims 1-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being unpatentable over Anuff et al. (US patent 6,327,628).

Claims 1, 21, 40, 59 and 80, as amended, include the feature that “a descendent of the first control can override the theme with a second theme such that the descendent of the first control uses the second theme and any descendent of the descendent of the first control uses the second theme unless the second theme is overridden at the descendent of the descendent of the first control”.

This feature is not shown or made obvious by the cited prior art. Note that Abel uses localized web pages; however, the localization of the web page is not done at the level of a descendent of a first control.

For this reason, the prior art does not make these claims obvious.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 9, 2008

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/
Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800